

New Hampshire Volunteer Lake Assessment Program

2002 Bi-Annual Report for Ayers Pond Barrington



NHDES
Water Division
Watershed Management Bureau
6 Hazen Drive
Concord, NH 03301



OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

We would like to encourage your monitoring group to conduct more sampling events in the future. Typically we recommend that each monitoring group sample at least three times per summer (once in June, July, and August). We understand that the number of sampling events you decide to conduct per summer will depend upon volunteer availability and your associations' water monitoring goals and funding availability. However, with a limited amount of data it is difficult to determine accurate and representative lake quality trends. Since weather patterns and activity in the watershed can change throughout the summer, and from year to year (and even from hour to hour during a rain event), it is a good idea to sample more than once or twice over the course of the season. If you are having difficulty finding volunteers to help sample, or to pick-up or drop-off equipment at one of the labs, please give the VLAP Coordinator a call and we will try to help you work out an arrangement.

After reviewing data collected from **AYERS POND**, the program coordinators recommend the following actions.

FIGURE INTERPRETATION

- **Figure 1 and Table 1:** The graphs in Figure 1 (Appendix A) show the historical and current year chlorophyll-a concentration in the water column. Table 1 (Appendix B) lists the maximum, minimum, and mean concentration for each sampling season that the lake/pond has been monitored through the program.

Chlorophyll-a, a pigment naturally found in plants, is an indicator of the algal abundance. Because algae are usually microscopic plants that contain chlorophyll-a and are naturally found in lake ecosystems, the chlorophyll-a concentration found in the water gives an estimation of the concentration of algae or lake productivity. The mean (average) summer chlorophyll-a concentration for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 7.02 ug/L.

Similar to the summer of 2001, the summer of 2002 was filled with many warm and sunny days and there was a lower than normal

amount of rainfall during the latter-half of the summer. The combination of these factors resulted in relatively warm surface waters throughout the state. The lack of fresh water to the lakes/ponds reduced the rate of flushing which may have resulted in water stagnation. Due to these conditions, many lakes and ponds experienced increased algae growth, including filamentous green algae (the billowy clouds of green algae typically seen floating near shore), and some lakes/ponds experienced nuisance cyanobacteria (blue-green algae) blooms.

The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration **decreased** from July to August.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the 2002 chlorophyll-a mean is ***much less than*** the state mean.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data (the bottom graph) shows that the mean annual chlorophyll-a concentration has ***not significantly changed*** (either *increased* or *decreased*) since monitoring began in **1987**. Specifically, the chlorophyll-a concentration has remained ***relatively stable*** and has been ***much less than*** the state median. (Note: Please refer to Appendix E for the detailed statistical analysis explanation and data print out.) We hope this trend continues!

While algae is naturally present in all lakes/ponds, an excessive or increasing amount of any type is not welcomed. In freshwater lakes/ponds, phosphorus is the nutrient that algae depend upon for growth. Therefore, algal concentrations may increase when there is an increase in nonpoint sources of nutrient loading from the watershed, or in-lake sources of phosphorus loading (such as phosphorus releases from the sediments). It is important to continually educate residents about how activities within the watershed can affect phosphorus loading and lake quality.

- **Figure 2 and Table 3:** The graphs in Figure 2 (Appendix A) show historical and current year data for lake/pond transparency. Table 3 lists the maximum, minimum and mean transparency data for each sampling season that the lake/pond has been monitored through the program.

Volunteer monitors use the Secchi-disk, a 20 cm disk with alternating black and white quadrants, to measure water clarity (how far a person can see into the water). Transparency, a measure of water clarity, can be affected by the amount of algae and sediment from erosion, as well as the natural colors of the water. The mean (average) summer transparency for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 3.7 meters.

Two different weather related patterns occurred this past spring and summer that influenced lake quality during the summer season.

In late May and early June of 2002, numerous rainstorms occurred. Stormwater runoff associated with these rainstorms may have increased phosphorus loading, and the amount of soil particles washed into waterbodies throughout the state. Some lakes and ponds experienced lower than typical transparency readings during late May and early June.

However, similar to the 2001 sampling season, the lower than average amount of rainfall and the warmer temperatures during the latter-half of the summer resulted in a few lakes/ponds reporting their best-ever Secchi-disk readings in July and August (a time when we often observe reduced clarity due to increased algal growth)!

The current year data (the top graph) show that the in-lake transparency **increased slightly** from July to August. It is interesting to note that as the chlorophyll-a concentration decreased from July to August, the transparency increased. We typically expect this relationship in lakes and ponds. As the concentration of algal cells decreases, the transparency typically increases.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the 2002 mean transparency is **slightly greater than** state mean.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data (the bottom graph) show that the mean annual in-lake transparency has **not significantly changed** (either *increased* or *decreased*) since monitoring began in **1987**. Specifically, the in-lake transparency has remained **relatively stable** and has been **slightly greater than** the state mean. (Note: Please refer to Appendix E for the statistical analysis explanation and data print out.)

Typically, high intensity rainfall causes erosion of sediments into lakes/ponds and streams, thus decreasing clarity. Efforts should continually be made to stabilize stream banks, lake/pond shorelines, disturbed soils within the watershed, and especially dirt roads located immediately adjacent to the edge of tributaries and the lake/pond. Guides to Best Management Practices designed to reduce, and possibly even eliminate, nonpoint source pollutants, such as sediment loading, are available from NHDES upon request.

- **Figure 3 and Table 8:** The graphs in Figure 3 (Appendix A) show the amounts of phosphorus in the epilimnion (the upper layer) and the hypolimnion (the lower layer); the inset graphs show current year data. Table 8 (Appendix B) lists the annual maximum, minimum, and median concentration for each deep spot layer and each tributary since the lake/pond has joined the program.

Phosphorus is the limiting nutrient for plant and algae growth in New Hampshire's freshwater lakes and ponds. Too much phosphorus in a lake/pond can lead to increases in plant and algal growth over time. The median summer total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) of New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 11 ug/L. The median summer phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) is 14 ug/L.

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the total phosphorus concentration **decreased slightly** from July to August and was **slightly less than** the state median on each sampling event.

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the total phosphorus concentration **increased greatly** from July to August, and was **greater than** the state median on each sampling event. (It is important to note that the turbidity of the hypolimnion was very high on the August sampling event (27.2 NTUs). This suggests that the lake/pond bottom may have been disturbed by the anchor or by the Kemmerer Bottle while sampling. When the lake/pond bottom is disturbed, sediment, which typically contains attached phosphorus, is released into the water column. When collecting the hypolimnion sample, please check to make sure that there is no sediment in the Kemmerer Bottle before filling the sample bottles.)

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data show that the total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) and the hypolimnion (lower layer) has **not significantly changed** (either *increased* or *decreased*) since monitoring began in **1987**. Specifically, the total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion has remained **relatively stable** and has been **approximately equal to** the state median.

However, it is important to note that the statistical regression results for the lower layer historical phosphorus data approached (but did not pass) the value necessary to conclude that there *has been* a statistically significant increase in the mean annual total phosphorus concentration at this station during the sampling period 1987 – 2002. (Refer to Appendix F for a more detailed explanation regarding statistical analysis). Although the results of the regression test

presently show that the increase in the mean annual total phosphorus concentration in the lower layer is not statistically significant, if the mean total phosphorus concentration in the lower layer continues to slightly increase each season at this station, the increase may soon become statistically significant. Therefore, it is important to continue to educate watershed residents on the ways to reduce phosphorus loading into the lake, and to continue to implement best management practices throughout the watershed.

TABLE INTERPRETATION

➤ **Table 2: Phytoplankton**

Table 2 lists the current and historic phytoplankton species observed in the lake/pond. The dominant phytoplankton species observed this year were ***Asterionella* (a diatom), *Rhizosolenia* (a diatom), and *Microcystis* (a cyanobacteria).**

Phytoplankton populations undergo a natural succession during the growing season (Please refer to page 12 of the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding seasonal plankton succession). Diatoms and golden-brown algae are typical in New Hampshire’s less productive lakes and ponds. An overabundance of cyanobacteria (previously referred to as blue-green algae) indicates that there may be an excessive total phosphorus concentration in the lake/pond, or that the ecology is out of balance. Some species of cyanobacteria can be toxic to livestock, pets, wildlife, and humans. (Please refer to pages 12 - 14 of the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding cyanobacteria).

➤ **Table 2: Cyanobacteria (Blue-green algae)**

One of the dominant species observed in the plankton sample this season was the cyanobacterium ***Microcystis***. In addition, small amounts of the cyanobacterium ***Anabaena* and *Oscillatoria*** were observed in the plankton sample this season. ***If present in large amounts, these species can be toxic to livestock, wildlife, pets, and humans if a large “surface bloom” occurs.*** Cyanobacteria can reach nuisance levels when excessive nutrients and favorable environmental conditions occur. As with the summer of 2001, we observed that some lakes and ponds had cyanobacteria present during the 2002 summer season, likely due to the many warm and sunny days that occurred this summer, which may have accelerated algal and bacterial growth. In addition, the lower than normal amount of rainfall during the latter half of the summer, meant that the slow flushing rates resulted in less phosphorus exiting the lake outlet and more phosphorus being available for plankton growth.

The presence of cyanobacteria serves as a reminder of the lake's/pond's delicate balance. Watershed residents should continue to act proactively to reduce nutrient loading into the lake/pond by eliminating fertilizer use on lawns, keeping the lake/pond shoreline natural, re-vegetating cleared areas within the watershed, and properly maintaining septic systems and roads.

In addition, residents should also observe the lake/pond in September and October during the time of fall turnover (lake mixing) to document any algal blooms that may occur. Cyanobacteria (blue-green algae) have the ability to regulate their depth in the water column by producing or releasing gas from vesicles. However, occasionally lake mixing can affect their buoyancy and cause them to rise to the surface and bloom. Wind and currents tend to "pile" cyanobacteria into scums that accumulate in one section of the lake/pond. If a fall bloom occurs, please contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 4: pH**

Table 4 (Appendix B) presents the in-lake and tributary current year and historical pH data.

pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 (acidic) to 14 (basic). pH is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. A pH below 5.5 severely limits the growth and reproduction of fish. A pH between 6.5 and 7.0 is ideal for fish. The mean pH value for the epilimnion (upper layer) in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 6.5, which indicates that the surface waters in state are slightly acidic. For a more detailed explanation regarding pH, please refer to page 16 of the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The mean pH in the *epilimnion* this season was **6.31**, which means that the water is ***slightly acidic***.

Due to the presence of granite bedrock in the state and the deposition of acid rain, there is not much that can be done to effectively increase lake/pond pH.

➤ **Table 5: Acid Neutralizing Capacity**

Table 5 in Appendix B presents the current year and historic epilimnetic ANC for each year the lake/pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Buffering capacity or ANC describes the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing the acidic input to the lake. For a

more detailed explanation, please refer to page 16 of the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report.

The Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) of the **epilimnion** (the upper layer) continues to remain **low** and is **well below** the state mean of 6.7 mg/L (Table 5). Specifically, this means that the lake/pond is **“extremely vulnerable”** to acidic inputs (such as acid precipitation) and has a **lower** ability than many lakes and ponds in the state to buffer against acidic inputs.

➤ **Table 6: Conductivity**

Table 6 in Appendix B presents the current and historic conductivity values for tributaries and in-lake data. Conductivity is the numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electric current. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to page 16 of the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report.

The conductivity has **increased** in the lake/pond and the **Inlet** since monitoring began (Table 6). Typically, sources of increased conductivity are due to human activity. These activities include septic systems that fail and leak leachate into the groundwater (and eventually into the tributaries and the lake/pond), agricultural runoff, and road runoff (which contains road salt during the spring snow melt). New development in the watershed can alter runoff patterns and expose new soil and bedrock areas, which could contribute to increasing conductivity. In addition, natural sources, such as iron deposits in bedrock, can influence conductivity. It is possible that the lower than normal amount rainfall during the latter-half of the summer reduced tributary and lake flushing, which allowed pollutants and ions to build up and resulted in elevated conductivity levels. We recommend that your monitoring group conduct stormwater sampling along the **Inlet** so that we can determine what may be causing the increases. For a detailed explanation on how to conduct stormwater sampling, please refer to this year’s “Special Topic Article” which is included in Appendix D of this report.

➤ **Table 8: Total Phosphorus**

Table 8 in Appendix B presents the current year and historic total phosphorus data for in-lake and tributary stations. Phosphorus is the nutrient that limits the algae’s ability to grow and reproduce. Please refer to page 17 of the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

➤ **Table 9: Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature**

Table 9 in Appendix B shows the dissolved oxygen/temperature profile(s) for the 2002 sampling season. The presence of dissolved

oxygen is vital to fish and amphibians in the water column and also to bottom-dwelling organisms. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation. (Note: The biologist conducted a duplicate profile this season, which explains why there are two results per each depth sampled.)

The dissolved oxygen concentration was **high** at all depths sampled at the deep spot of the lake/pond, however, the concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) was **low**. This is a sign of the lake’s/pond’s aging and declining health. Please refer to the Table 10 discussion for a more detailed explanation.

➤ **Table 10: Historical Hypolimnetic Dissolved Oxygen**

Table 10 in Appendix B shows the historical and current year dissolved oxygen concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer). The presence of dissolved oxygen is vital to fish and amphibians in the water column and also to bottom-dwelling organisms. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The dissolved oxygen concentration was **low** at the deep spot of the lake/pond (Table 9). As stratified lakes/ponds age, oxygen becomes **depleted** in the hypolimnion (the lower layer) by the process of decomposition. Specifically, the loss of oxygen in the hypolimnion results primarily from the process of biological breakdown of organic matter (i.e.; biological organisms use oxygen to break down organic matter), both in the water column and particularly at the bottom of the lake/pond where the water meets the sediment. When oxygen levels are depleted to less than 1 mg/L in the hypolimnion (**as it was this season and in many past seasons**), the phosphorus that is normally bound up in the sediment may be re-released into the water column.

The **low** oxygen level in the hypolimnion is a sign of the lake’s/pond’s **aging** and **declining** health. This year the DES biologist conducted the temperature/dissolved oxygen profile in **July**. We recommend that the annual biologist visit for the 2003 sampling season be scheduled during **June** so that we can determine if oxygen is depleted in the hypolimnion **earlier** in the sampling season.

➤ **Table 11: Turbidity**

Table 11 in Appendix B lists the current year and historic data for in-lake and tributary turbidity. Turbidity in the water is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, and algae. Water clarity is

strongly influenced by turbidity. Please refer to page 19 of the “Other Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL

Annual Assessment Audit:

During the annual visit to your lake/pond, the biologist conducted a “Sampling Procedures Assessment Audit” for your monitoring group. Specifically, the biologist observed the performance of your monitoring group while sampling and filled out an assessment audit sheet to document the ability of the volunteer monitors to follow the proper field sampling procedures (as outlined in the VLAP Monitor’s Field Manual). This assessment is used to identify any aspects of sample collection in which volunteer monitors are not following the proper procedures, and also provides an opportunity for the biologist to retrain the volunteer monitors as necessary. This will ultimately ensure that the samples that the volunteer monitors collect are truly representative of actual lake and tributary conditions.

Overall, your monitoring group did an **excellent** job collecting samples on the annual biologist visit this season! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the biologist to provide additional training. Keep up the good work!

Sample Receipt Checklist:

Each time your monitoring group dropped off samples at the laboratory this summer, the laboratory staff completed a sample receipt checklist to assess and document if the volunteer monitors followed proper sampling techniques when collecting the samples. The purpose of the sample receipt checklist is to minimize, and hopefully eliminate, future re-occurrences of improper sampling techniques.

Overall, the sample receipt checklist showed that your monitoring group did an **excellent** job when collecting samples and submitting them to the laboratory this season! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the laboratory staff to contact your group with questions, and no samples were rejected for analysis.

NOTES

- Monitor's Note (8/7/02): Water is low. Only a trickle at the inlet. Water seeping through the dam. Have not seen any frogs yet this year.

USEFUL RESOURCES

Changes to the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act: 2001 Legislative Session, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3505, or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-8.htm

Cyanobacteria in New Hampshire Waters Potential Dangers of Blue-Green Algae Blooms, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3505, or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-10.htm

The Lake Pocket Book. Prepared by The Terrene Institute, 2000. (internet: www.terrene.org, phone 800-726-4853)

Managing Lakes and Reservoirs, Third Edition, 2001. Prepared by the North American Lake Management Society (NALMS) and the Terrene Institute in cooperation with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Copies are available from NALMS (internet: www.nalms.org, phone 608-233-2836), and the Terrene Institute (internet: www.terrene.org, phone 800-726-4853)

Proper Lawn Care in the Protected Shoreland: The Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, WD-SP-2, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-2.htm

Sand Dumping - Beach Construction, WD-BB-15, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-15.htm

Swimmers Itch, WD-BB-2, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-2.htm

Use of Lakes or Streams for Domestic Water Supply, WD-WSEB-1-11, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/ws/ws-1-11.htm



Water Milfoil, WD-BB-1, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-1.htm

Weed Watchers: An Association to Halt the Spread of Exotic Aquatic Plants, WD-BB-4, NHDES Fact Sheet, (603) 271-3503 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-4.htm

Appendix A: Graphs



Ayers Pond, Barrington

Figure 1. Monthly and Historical Chlorophyll-a Results



Ayers Pond, Barrington

Figure 2. Monthly and Historical Transparency Results



Ayers Pond, Barrington

Figure 3. Monthly and Historical Total Phosphorus Data.

